

Dark Matter at the LHC and IceCube – a Simplified Model Interpretation

Jan Heisig, Mathieu Pellen

Institute for Theoretical Particle Physics and Cosmology, RWTH Aachen University, Germany

We present an interpretation of searches for Dark Matter in a simplified model approach. Considering Majorana fermion Dark Matter and a neutral vector mediator with axial-vector interactions we explore mono-jet searches at the LHC and searches for neutrinos from Dark Matter annihilation in the Sun at IceCube and place new limits on model parameter space. Further, we compare the simplified model with its effective field theory approximation and discuss the validity of the latter one.

1 Introduction

Weakly interacting massive particles (WIMPs) are popular candidates to account for Dark Matter (DM) in the universe. There are different ways to explore the landscape of DM models from a phenomenological perspective. One is the use of effective operators describing the interactions between the standard model (SM) and the WIMP in the framework of effective field theory (EFT). Another approach is to use simplified models containing a minimal set of new particles that allow to describe the phenomenology of DM via renormalizable interactions. Although the EFT framework has been successfully used for the description of DM interactions at rather low energies – like the interpretation of direct detection experiments – it has been pointed out that EFT may break down when probing dark matter production at the LHC [1–3].

In this article we consider a model that extends the SM by a Majorana fermion DM, χ , and a vector mediator, V , which couples to the DM and the SM quarks with axial-vector interactions, with couplings g_χ and g_q , respectively. For such a model, LHC searches are expected to be more sensitive than direct detection experiments as the model does not provide any contribution to spin-independent WIMP-nucleon scattering. We present limits on the parameters space of this model from mono-jet searches at the LHC [5, 6] and compare them to the respective limits obtained in the EFT approximation. For realistic values of the couplings, $g_\chi, g_q \lesssim 1$, the LHC provides limits on the messenger mass $M_V \lesssim 1$ TeV. As these are accessible energies at LHC collisions, contributions from on-shell messenger production can be large. Hence, limits from the simplified model and the EFT can differ significantly as we will discuss in Sec. 2.

As a complementary constraint on the parameter space we consider limits on the spin-dependent WIMP-proton scattering from DM annihilation in the Sun. To this end we re-interpret limits from IceCube [4] within our model, where annihilation into top quark pairs and pairs of mediators are important. These limits are particularly constraining for large DM masses where the LHC loses its sensitivity. We discuss them in Sec. 3. In Sec. 4 we conclude.

2 LHC mono-jet constraints

In this work we interpret two searches for mono-jet plus missing transverse momentum (MET) signatures performed by ATLAS [5] and CMS [6] at the 8 TeV LHC. To this end we performed a Monte Carlo simulation of the signal and imposed the search cuts detailed in [5, 6]. Based on the background analysis provided in these references we are thus able to set 95% CL exclusion limits on the parameters of the model. For details we refer to [7].

The considered model has four independent parameters. The DM mass, m_χ , the mediator mass, M_V , and the couplings of the mediator to the DM, g_χ , and the SM quarks g_q . We assume universal couplings to all SM quarks and neglect couplings to leptons. We show our results for various slices of the parameter space where we fix the product of the couplings, $g_\chi g_q$ and the mediator width, Γ_V . We choose this parametrization as the cross section for DM production directly depends on these parameters. However, not all values of Γ_V and g_χ, g_q are consistent within this model as we will show below.

For comparison we also derive limits in the EFT approximation of the considered model. For this we integrate out the messenger to obtain a 4-fermion contact interaction with an effective coupling $d = g_\chi g_q / M_V^2$. Hence, the parameter space reduces to two parameters, m_χ and d .

In Fig. 1 we show the exclusion limits for the EFT (dashed lines) and the simplified model (solid lines) for four slices of the considered parameter space. Whilst the EFT limit extends to very high DM masses above a TeV the limit from simplified models goes down very drastically for $M_V < 2m_\chi$. In this region the EFT approximation significantly over-estimates the sensitivity. Further, also for $M_V \gg m_\chi$ we find significant deviations in the resulting limit on M_V . This is due to the fact that the limit on M_V placed for $\sqrt{g_\chi g_q} \lesssim 1$ lies in the region of reachable LHC energies. This can lead to both an under- or over-estimation of the sensitivity. On the one hand, contribution from on-shell mediator production could greatly enhance the cross section. This is the dominant effect for the parameter slices with $\Gamma_V = 0.01M_V$ (left panels of Fig. 1). The effect becomes more pronounced for the smaller coupling, $\sqrt{g_\chi g_q} = 0.2$, (see lower panels) as the limits are placed at lower M_V where the contribution from on-shell mediator production is even larger. In this region EFT under-estimates the sensitivity. On the other hand, for very small M_V (below the energy scale of the required MET in the considered searches) the cross section is reduced and the EFT approximation again over-estimates the limit. This can be seen in the case $\sqrt{g_\chi g_q} = 0.2$, $\Gamma_V = 0.5M_V$ (lower right panel) where the CMS limit for the simplified model completely vanishes whilst the EFT would exclude $M_V \gtrsim 200$ GeV.

As mentioned above not all combinations of m_χ , M_V , $\sqrt{g_\chi g_q}$ and Γ_V are consistent within the model. In Fig. 1 we marked in blue the regions where no such solution exist. Note that the region $M_V > 2m_\chi$ – the region where the EFT shows its best agreement – is barely accessible for a reasonably small width of $\Gamma_V = 0.01M_V$.

3 Constraints from DM annihilation in the Sun

If WIMPs scatter in heavy objects like the Sun, they can loose enough energy to become gravitationally trapped and accumulate inside the Sun. This leads to a locally enhanced WIMP density providing significant DM annihilation. Neutrinos that are produced as primary or secondary products of such annihilations can escape the Sun and be detected on Earth. On large time-scales an equilibrium between the capturing and annihilation will be reached. In this case, a limit on the flux of neutrinos produced in WIMP annihilations can be translated




Figure 1: Exclusion limits in the m_χ - M_V plane in four slices of the considered parameter space regarding $\sqrt{g_\chi g_q}$ and Γ_V [7]. We show the 95% CL lower exclusion limits from mono-jet searches at ATLAS (blue lines) and CMS (red lines) for the simplified model (solid lines) and the EFT approximation (dashed lines). Further, we show 90% CL lower exclusion limits from the IceCube Neutrino Observatory (green lines). The dark grey shaded band denotes the region where the thermal relic density matches the DM density within $\pm 10\%$. In the light-grey shaded region above it DM is over-produced. In the blue shaded region in the left panels no solution exist for the individual couplings g_χ, g_q requiring $M_V, m_\chi, \sqrt{g_\chi g_q}$ and Γ_V . The orange shaded regions are excluded by searches for resonances in di-jet signatures taken from Ref. [8].

into a limit on the scattering cross section of WIMPs inside the sun. As the Sun contains large amounts of hydrogen it provides sensitivity to spin-dependent WIMP-proton scattering.

We use data from the IceCube Neutrino Observatory [4] which is interpreted in two benchmark scenarios according to DM annihilating 100% into $b\bar{b}$ or WW . In most of the parameter space of our model, annihilation into $b\bar{b}$, $t\bar{t}$ or VV dominates. Therefore we re-interpret the

limits on the spin-dependent WIMP-proton cross section from Ref. [4] and estimate a limit for 100% annihilation into $t\bar{t}$ and VV as a function of m_χ (and M_V) [7]. We then apply the limit to our model parameter space taking into account the respective contribution to annihilation of the channels $b\bar{b}$, $t\bar{t}$ and VV . The resulting limits are shown in Fig. 1 (green lines). In the region of large m_χ where LHC searches lose sensitivity, the limits from IceCube are able to exclude mediator masses up to $M_V \simeq 200$ GeV (1 TeV) for $\sqrt{g_\chi g_q} = 0.2$ (1).

Although the capture of WIMPs in the Sun is well described by EFT, the annihilation process is in general not. As a consequence of the different solutions for the individual couplings g_χ and g_q for different mediator widths chosen in our parameter slices the relative contributions of the annihilation channels $b\bar{b}$, $t\bar{t}$ and VV can differ drastically. Since limits for annihilation into VV are much weaker than for $t\bar{t}$, a large VV contribution can significantly weaken the IceCube limit. This is the case for $\sqrt{g_\chi g_q} = 1$, $\Gamma_V = 0.01 M_V$ and $m_\chi \geq 1$ TeV, where annihilation into VV is particularly important.

4 Conclusion

We have considered a model with a vanishing spin-independent WIMP-nucleon cross section and set new limits on the model parameter space from LHC mono-jet searches as well as IceCube. Using LHC limits for $\sqrt{g_\chi g_q} = 1$ we can exclude mediator masses up to around 3 TeV for $m_\chi \lesssim 1$ TeV while for $\sqrt{g_\chi g_q} = 0.2$ we exclude M_V in the range of 500 GeV to 1.5 TeV with a strong dependence on the mediator width. We have compared these limits to the ones obtained in the EFT and found that those can both over- or under-estimate the sensitivity depending on the corner in parameter space. Limits from IceCube are complementary probing the region of large m_χ where the LHC is not sensitive at all reaching up to $M_V \simeq 1$ TeV for $\sqrt{g_\chi g_q} = 1$.

References

- [1] O. Buchmüller, M.J. Dolan, C. McCabe, “Beyond Effective Field Theory for Dark Matter Searches at the LHC”, JHEP **1401** (2014) 025, [arXiv:1308.6799 [hep-ph]].
- [2] G. Busoni, A. De Simone, J. Gramling, E. Morgante, A. Riotto, “On the Validity of the Effective Field Theory for Dark Matter Searches at the LHC, Part II: Complete Analysis for the s-channel”, JCAP **1406** (2014) 060, [arXiv:1402.1275 [hep-ph]].
- [3] O. Buchmüller, M.J. Dolan, S.A. Malik, C. McCabe, “Characterising dark matter searches at colliders and direct detection experiments: Vector mediators”, JHEP **1501** (2015) 037, [arXiv:1407.8257 [hep-ph]].
- [4] IceCube Collaboration, M. Aartsen, *et al.*, “Search for dark matter annihilations in the Sun with the 79-string IceCube detector”, Phys. Rev. Lett. **110** (2013) no. 13, 131302, [arXiv:1212.4097 [astro-ph.HE]].
- [5] ATLAS Collaboration, G. Aad, *et al.*, “Search for new phenomena in final states with an energetic jet and large missing transverse momentum in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 8$ TeV with the ATLAS detector”, Eur. Phys. J. **C75** (2015) no. 7, 299, [Erratum: Eur. Phys. J. **C75** (2015) no. 9, 408], [arXiv:1502.01518 [hep-ex]].
- [6] CMS Collaboration, V. Khachatryan, *et al.*, “Search for dark matter, extra dimensions, and unparticles in monojet events in proton-proton collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 8$ TeV”, Eur. Phys. J. **C75** (2015) no. 5, 235, [arXiv:1408.3583 [hep-ex]].
- [7] J. Heisig, M. Krämer, M. Pellen, C. Wiebusch, “Constraints on Majorana Dark Matter from the LHC and IceCube”, [arXiv:1509.07867 [hep-ph]].
- [8] M. Chala, F. Kahlhoefer, M. McCullough, G. Nardini, K. Schmidt-Hoberg, “Constraining Dark Sectors with Monojets and Dijets”, JHEP **1507** (2015) 089, [arXiv:1503.05916 [hep-ph]].